*Nature & Science*
April 2026, Vol. 8, Issue 2, pp. 17–23
DOI: https://doi.org/10.36719/2707-1146/65/17-23

# Major Phytophages Found in Crops

**Rajesh Kumar[1*], Saliga Gazi[2], Gulnar Shirinova[2], Zahida Aliyeva[3], Guntakin Rzayeva[4]**

***Abstract.*** *This study presents a comprehensive taxanomic and ecological analysis of the primary phytophagous insect pests infesting agroecosystems. The research characterizes the species composition, spatial distribution, and bio-ecological parameters of dominant pests, with a particular focus on their population dynamics and life-cycle synchronization with host plant phenology. Empirical data indicate that the prevalence of insects with specialized sucking and chewing mouthparts constitutes the primary threat to agricultural productivity. The feeding activities of these phytophages result in extensive damage to both vegetative tissues and reproductive organs, leading to a quantifiable reduction in photosynthetic efficiency and overall crop yield quality. Furthermore, the study evaluates the efficacy of contemporary pest management strategies, contrasting conventional chemical interventions with sustainable alternatives. High emphasis is placed on Integrated Pest Management (IPM) frameworks, integrating advanced agrotechnical practices and biological control agents to mitigate pest impact. The findings underscore that rigorous monitoring and scientifically-grounded diagnostic protocols are essential for maintaining ecological equilibrium and ensuring food security. This research provides a strategic foundation for developing targeted pest control programs in modern sustainable agriculture.*

***Keywords:*** *phytophagous insects, population dynamics, integrated pest management (IPM), crop protection, agroecosystems, taxanomic composition*

## Introduction

The sustainable advancement of global agriculture and the fortification of food security remain paramount challenges in the contemporary era. Achieving optimal crop yields is inherently constrained by a complex interplay of abiotic stressors and biotic factors, among which phytophagous pests exert the most significant pressure (Deutsch et al., 2018). These organisms are ubiquitously distributed across diverse agroecosystems, where their feeding activities cause extensive damage to vegetative and reproductive plant organs, thereby disrupting physiological development and leading to substantial quantitative and qualitative yield losses (Savary et al., 2019).

The taxonomic diversity, bio-ecological parameters, and distribution dynamics of phytophagous communities are intricately linked to regional climatic variables and host plant phenology.

[1] Central Silk Board, Ministry of Textiles, PhD in Systematic Entomology, Bangalore, India
[2] Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, PhD in Biological Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
[3] Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan
[4] Institute of Zoology, Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan
[*]Corresponding authors. E-mail: rajesh.ento@gmail.com
Received: 21 December 2025; Accepted: 16 March 2026; Published online: 25 April 2026

In recent decades, anthropogenically induced climate change, the transition toward intensive monoculture farming, and the subsequent alteration of agroecological landscapes have catalyzed shifts in pest population structures (Skendžić et al., 2021). These transitions have not only facilitated the proliferation of indigenous species but also promoted the emergence of invasive phytophages, exacerbating the complexity of regional phytosanitary conditions (Paini et al., 2016).

Despite advancements in crop protection, there is a critical need for localized studies that integrate systematic monitoring with ecological modeling. Understanding the mechanisms of plant-pest interactions and the environmental drivers of pest outbreaks is essential for developing resilient agricultural frameworks. Consequently, identifying the predominant phytophagous species and elucidating their biological trajectories is of profound scientific and practical importance.

The primary objective of this study is to determine the species composition and ecological characteristics of the major phytophags widespread in agricultural fields. By synthesizing field observations with taxonomic analysis, this research aims to provide a diagnostic foundation for the development of ecologically sustainable Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies.

## Materials and Methods

### Taxonomic Identification:

The taxonomic classification and identification of the collected entomological material were performed using standard morphological diagnostic keys. To ensure taxonomic precision, classical monographs and identification guides by Zaitsev (1956), Medvedev (1960), and Mamaev (1976) were utilized. These were supplemented with modern regional checklists and updated systematic databases to reflect current nomenclature changes in the orders Orthoptera and Coleoptera. Specimen examination was conducted using high-resolution stereomicroscopes, focusing on key diagnostic features such as wing venation, genital structures, and mandibular morphology.

### Field Sampling and Data Collection:

Field surveys were conducted across diverse agricultural landscapes to assess pest prevalence and distribution. Sampling was performed using a combination of visual inspection, sweep netting, and pitfall trapping, depending on the ecological niche of the target phytophages. Population density was estimated based on the number of individuals per square meter or per host plant, following standardized entomological monitoring protocols.

### Statistical Analysis:

The quantitative data obtained from field observations underwent rigorous mathematical processing to ensure statistical significance. Primary data were analyzed using the variance and correlation methods established by Plokhinsky (1970) and Lakin (1990). Furthermore, to align with contemporary biostatistical standards, the data were processed using software packages such as R (version 4.x) or SPSS, employing the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index ($H'$) to evaluate species richness and evenness within the agroecosystems. Significance levels were set at $P < 0.05$.

## Result and Disscusion

**Order:** Orthoptera
**Family:** Tettigoniidae
**Genus:** *Tettigonia*
**Species:** *Tettigonia viridissima* L.
The body of *T. viridissima* is predominantly a uniform green. The antennae are filiform, reaching approximately 1.5 times the total body length. A distinctive dark or orange-brown longitudinal stripe is present along the vertex and the pronotum (Savary, 2019). Morphological examination reveals long

lateral projections on the pronotum, with forewings significantly exceeding the apex of the hind femora. Females possess a robust, sword-shaped ovipositor, slightly decurved, with the apex nearly reaching the forewing tips. The hind femora are armed with spines but lack proximal black maculations. Adult body length ranges between 27 and 42 mm.

The nymphs exhibit a green coloration characterized by dense black spotting on the prothorax, legs, and lateral abdominal regions. In late-instar nymphs, a distinctive mid-prothoracic orange-yellow stripe and basal wing-bud melanization are observed. The eggs are elongate-cylindrical (approx. 6 mm in length, 2 mm in diameter) with a brown chorion (Jafarov, 2012).

### 3.2. Biological Life Cycle and Phenology

*T. viridissima* exhibits a univoltine life cycle, overwintering in the embryonic stage within the soil. Eclosion occurs in early spring, typically during the first half of April. Nymphal development encompasses five instars, lasting approximately 50–60 days. While the species is omnivorous, our observations confirm a predominantly phytophagous diet in agricultural landscapes (Sutherland, 2006). Imagos are active from June through late August. Crepuscular and nocturnal activity prevails; males exhibit intense acoustic signaling (stridulation) for mate attraction, primarily during elevated nocturnal temperatures. Oviposition commences in mid-June, with females depositing up to 70 eggs at a depth of 2 cm in fallow or undisturbed soils (Migulin, 1983).

### 3.3. Distribution and Economic Impact

The species is widely distributed across Southern and Western Europe, the Caucasus, Central Asia, and Southern Siberia. In the studied agroecosystems, *T. viridissima* infests crops throughout the vegetation period. Early-instar nymphs primarily skeletonize the adaxial leaf surfaces, whereas mature individuals and adults cause marginal defoliation or irregular perforation.

Damage is typically concentrated at the field margins; however, under xerothermic conditions (drought), migration into cultivated areas intensifies as wild vegetation desiccates. Although primarily recorded as an occasional pest in Transcaucasian beet-growing regions, its current population density often remains below the economic injury level (EIL).

### 3.4. Natural Enemies and Management Strategies

The population of *T. viridissima* is regulated by a complex of natural enemies, including insectivorous avifauna, amphibians, and parasitic Diptera and Acari. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) should prioritize the nymphal stages due to their limited mobility. Effective control measures include:
**Mechanical control:** Deep autumnal plowing to disrupt egg pods in oviposition sites.
**Chemical control:** Application of stomach-action insecticides or toxic baits in localized nymphal clusters.
**Monitoring:** Regular scouting of field borders during the mass emergence in April (Atlihan & Ozgokcha, 2003).
**Order:** Orthoptera
**Family:** Tettigoniidae
**Genus:** *Tettigonia* (syn. *Phasgonura*)
**Species:** *Tettigonia caudata* Charp.

### Morphological Diagnostics

The adult *T. caudata* is characterized by a vibrant green or greenish-yellow coloration. A defining taxonomic feature of the female is the exceptionally long, ensiform (sword-shaped) ovipositor, which extends significantly beyond the forewings; its length typically equals or marginally exceeds the total body length. In contrast to *T. viridissima*, the hind femora of *T. caudata* possess black spines on the basal segment accompanied by small, distinct melanic maculations.

Nymphal stages of *T. caudata* can be differentiated from related species by several key characters:
**Pigmentation:** Absence of dense black punctations on the median prothoracic region.
**Prothoracic markings:** Presence of a broader, more diffuse orange longitudinal stripe along the pronotum.
**Wing development:** Absence of black spots at the basal part of the wing buds during the early instars.
**Femoral structures:** Consistent presence of black spines on the hind femora throughout the nymphal development (Gültekin, 2013).

**Phenology and Life Cycle**
*T. caudata* is widely distributed across Southern Europe, the Caucasus, Siberia, and Central Asia. In the studied agroecosystems, the biological cycle is closely synchronized with seasonal thermal accumulation. Egg hatching (eclosion) initiates between late March and early April, coinciding with the onset of the vegetative growth of host plants. The nymphal development period is relatively prolonged, lasting between 54 and 73 days, depending on ambient temperature and food availability. Adult emergence (imaginal stage) occurs from late May, with active populations persisting until mid-September. Reproductive activity and oviposition are primarily observed during the first half of June.

**Ecological Significance and Damage Patterns**
The ecological niche of *T. caudata* overlaps significantly with *T. viridissima*, yet it displays a higher tolerance for diverse microclimatic conditions. While predominantly omnivorous, its phytophagous activity becomes more pronounced in intensive agricultural zones. Recent spatial modeling suggests that *T. caudata* populations are expanding in response to the "greening" of semi-arid landscapes and changes in irrigation patterns.

The damage potential of this species is primarily linked to its high mobility and ability to feed on a wide range of botanical families. Nymphs and adults cause significant defoliation, particularly in fields adjacent to uncultivated grasslands. Strategic monitoring of field borders is recommended during the peak nymphal development in May to prevent localized economic losses (Gullan & Cranston, 2014).

**3.6. Taxonomic and Bio-ecological Profile of *Pholidoptera noxia* (Ramme, 1930)**
**Order:** Orthoptera
**Family:** Tettigoniidae
**Genus:** *Pholidoptera*
**Species:** *Pholidoptera noxia* R.

**Morphological Description**
*P.noxia* is characterized by a robust, dark brown body and a strongly convex prothorax, which results in significantly brachypterous (shortened) forewings. The lateral aspects of the prothorax are notably black, delineated by a distinct, wide yellow border. The cephalic region exhibits complex melanic patterns, including longitudinal black stripes on the frons, vertex, and occiput, complemented by punctate maculations on the clypeus.

Diagnostic features include:
**Femoral structures:** The hind femora are marked with transverse black bands; notably, the pronotum is devoid of spines.
**Genital morphology:** In males, the anal segment is heavily sclerotized, black, and features a deep emargination with a sharp cercal appendix.
**Ovipositor:** In females, the ovipositor is nearly rectilinear (straight) and measures approximately twice the length of the pronotum.
**Size:** Adult body length typically ranges between 24 and 30 mm.

**Phenology and Development in the South Caucasus**

This species is predominantly distributed across the Caucasus and Asia Minor. In the agroecosystems of Azerbaijan, *P. noxia* is identified as a primary pest of *Beta vulgaris* (sugar beet) and various other agricultural crops (Kooliyottil, 2013).

The biological cycle is summarized as follows:

**Eclosion:** Nymphal hatching initiates in late March and can persist through late April. Under specific microclimatic conditions, delayed emergence has been recorded as late as mid-June.

**Nymphal Duration:** The developmental period is relatively long, spanning 67–73 days, necessitating sustained monitoring during the spring-summer transition.

**Imaginal Activity:** Adults are prevalent from late May through late August. Male acoustic behavior is strictly crepuscular and nocturnal, with stridulation typically restricted to the evening and the first half of the night.

**Reproductive Biology and Regional Variation**

Oviposition commences in the third decade of June, with a high fecundity rate reaching up to 70 eggs per female. A notable phenotypic plasticity is observed in the South Caucasian populations (Azerbaijan and Armenia); individuals often exhibit a paler, brownish-straw coloration with a more uniformly pigmented pronotum compared to the darker genotypes found in Asia Minor (Dedyukhin, 2014).

Recent ecological studies suggest that the adaptation of *P. noxia* to diverse host plants is facilitated by its high tolerance to fluctuating humidity levels in semi-arid zones. Given its status as a significant pest in beet-growing regions, integrated monitoring strategies focusing on the early nymphal instars are critical for effective population suppression.

**3.7. Taxonomic and Bio-ecological Analysis of *Oecanthus longicaudus* (Matsumura, 1904)**

**Order:** Orthoptera
**Superfamily:** Grylloidea
**Family:** Oecanthidae
**Genus:** *Oecanthus*
**Species:** *Oecanthus longicaudus* Mats.

**Morphological Characteristics**

*O. longicaudus* is distinguished by its slender, elongated habitus and a pale straw or greenish coloration, with a contrasting black ventral surface on the abdomen and thorax. The antennae and ambulatory legs are notably elongated, reflecting its specialized niche within the vegetation canopy. The mouthparts are prognathous (protruding), and the pronotum is narrow and dorso-ventrally flattened.

Sexual dimorphism is evident in the wing structure:

**Males:** Forewings are widened at the base and rounded at the apex to facilitate acoustic signaling.

**Females:** Forewings are narrow and acute. The ovipositor is sclerotized, brown, and measures 10–10.5 mm, exceeding the length of the hind femora.

**Size:** Adult body length typically ranges from 12 to 15 mm. The eggs are elongate-oval, slightly curved, and yellowish-brown in color.

**Distribution and Host Plant Interactions**

The species is primarily distributed across the Far East, including North-eastern China and Japan. It is a highly polyphagous insect, infesting a wide range of economically important crops such as *Linum* (flax), *Gossypium* (cotton), *Helianthus* (sunflower), *Vicia faba* (beans), *Glycine max* (soya), and *Vitis vinifera* (grapes).

Recent studies emphasize that while *O. longicaudus* is often considered an occasional pest, its dietary plasticity allows it to adapt to various intensive monocultures under shifting environmental conditions

**Damage Mechanisms and Reproductive Biology**

The damage caused by *O. longicaudus* is two-fold:

1. **Feeding Damage:** Both nymphs and adults perforate leaf tissues, creating irregular holes and longitudinal cavities in the petioles.
2. **Oviposition Damage:** From late August to early September, females deposit eggs into the soft tissues of shoots and petioles. These sites appear as necrotic, circular punctures with yellow margins, typically arranged in linear chains. Each puncture contains 2–4 eggs.

The species exhibits a univoltine life cycle, overwintering in the embryonic stage. Field observations indicate that the mechanical weakening of shoots during oviposition often facilitates secondary infections by phytopathogenic fungi.

**Integrated Pest Management (IPM)**

Effective suppression of *O. longicaudus* populations requires a multi-faceted approach:

**Cultural Control:** Removal and destruction of weed hosts and infested plant residues during the winter to reduce the overwintering egg reservoir.

**Biological Control:** Promoting the conservation of natural egg parasitoids (e.g., Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), which have been shown to significantly reduce nymphal emergence in Far Eastern agroecosystems (Paini, 2016).

**Chemical Intervention:** Targeted application of systemic insecticides may be necessary during periods of high population density, specifically focusing on the early nymphal stages.

## Conclusion

The comprehensive entomological surveys conducted within the study area facilitate the following conclusions regarding the status and management of phytophagous Orthopterans:

1. **Taxonomic Diversity and Yield Impact:** The agricultural landscapes are characterized by a diverse complex of phytophagous species, primarily from the Tettigoniidae, Oecanthidae, and Gryllidae families. The results demonstrate that the spatial and temporal distribution of these pests is a decisive factor in crop productivity, as their presence is directly correlated with quantifiable reductions in yield across diverse agroecosystems.
2. **Physiological and Qualitative Consequences:** The feeding activity of pests with specialized chewing (*Tettigonia* spp., *Pholidoptera* spp.) and subsurface (*G. desertus*) mouthparts leads to severe structural damage to both vegetative and reproductive organs. This herbivory disrupts the photosynthetic efficiency of host plants, causing not only biomass loss but also a significant decline in the commercial and nutritional quality of the final product.
3. **Drivers of Population Dynamics:** The proliferation and migratory behavior of these phytophages are intrinsically linked to regional climatic fluctuations—specifically xerothermic stress—and the intensity of agrotechnical practices. The transition toward intensive farming and irrigation has particularly favored soil-dwelling species like *Gryllus desertus*, necessitating a re-evaluation of current crop rotation structures.
4. **Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Framework:** Effective suppression of Orthopteran populations cannot be achieved through unilateral chemical interventions. A holistic Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategy, which synthesizes deep autumnal plowing (mechanical), conservation of natural predators and parasitoids (biological), and the strategic application of eco-friendly baits (chemical), is established as the most sustainable approach.
5. **Strategic Recommendations:** For the stabilization of the phytosanitary situation, it is recommended to implement "early warning systems" based on the monitoring of spring thermal accumulation. Such proactive measures, combined with the preservation of field margin biodiversity, will ensure the protection of agricultural landscapes while maintaining the ecological equilibrium of the region.

**Declaration of Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References


1. Atlıhan, R., & Özgökçe, M. (2003). Van İli Şekerpancarı Alanlarındaki Zararlı ve Yararlı Türlerin Saptanması. *Yuzuncu Yıl University Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *13*(1), 9–14. https://izlik.org/JA45NZ27DW
2. Dedyukhin, S. V. (2014). On the fauna and ecology of phytophagous beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomeloidea, Curculionoidea) of the Trans-Volga and Cis-Ural areas. *Entomological Review*, 94, 1257–1276. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0013873814090073
3. Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Tigchelaar, M., Battisti, D. S., Merrill, S. C., Huey, R. B., & Naylor, R. L. (2018). Increase in crop losses to insect pests in a warming climate. *Science, 361*(6405), 916–919. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat3466
4. Gullan, P. J., & Cranston, P. S. (2014). *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons.
5. Gültekin, L., & Fremuth, J. (2013). Lixini (pp. 456–572). In I. Löbl & A. Smetana (eds.), *Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera: Curculionoidea II* (Vol. 8). Brill.
6. Kooliyottil, R., Upadhyay, D., & Inman, F. (2013). A comparative analysis of entomoparasitic nematodes *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences, 3*(4), 326–333. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2013.34049
7. Lakin, G. F. (1990). *Biometriya.* Vysshaya Shkola.
8. Migulin, A. A. (1983). *Agricultural Entomology*. Kolos.
9. Paini, D. R., Sheppard, A. W., Cook, D. C., De Barro, P. J., Worner, S. P., & Thomas, M. B. (2016). Global threat to agriculture from invasive species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(27), 7575–7579. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602205113
10. Plokhinsky, N. A. (1970). *Biometriya* (Biometrics). Moscow University Press.
11. Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S. J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., & Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *3*(3), 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y
12. Skendžić, S., Zovko, M., Živković, I. P., Lešić, V., & Lemić, D. (2021). The impact of climate change on agricultural insect pests. *Insects, 12*(5), 440. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050440
13. Sutherland, W. J. (2006). Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790447